```
1
    FREDERICK P. FURTH (No. 38438)
    MICHAEL P. LEHMANN (No. 77152)
    THOMAS P. DOVE (No. 51921)
    ALEX C. TURAN (No. 227273)
 3
    THE FURTH FIRM LLP
    225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
    San Francisco, California 94104-4249
    Telephone: (415) 433-2070
 5
    Facsimile: (415) 982-2076
 6
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and
    Andrew Meimes
 7
    (N.D. Cal. Case No. C:05-2743 (BZ))
    (Additional Counsel are listed on Signature Page)
 8
                        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                     FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                                 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11
     DAVID E. LIPTON, et al., on behalf of
                                               CIVIL ACTION NO. C:05-2669 (MHP)
    themselves and all others similarly situated,
12
                                               DECLARATION OF MICHAEL P.
13
                                               LEHMANN IN SUPPORT OF
                  Plaintiffs.
                                               ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
     VS.
14
                                               CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
    INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
                                               SHOULD BE RELATED
15
    corporation,
                               Defendant.
16
17
18
                  1. I am counsel of record for plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes in
19
    the case of Brauch v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005)
20
    ("Brauch").
21
                 2. I submit this declaration pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 7-11(a) in support of
22
    the Brauch plaintiffs' motion to have the Brauch case and the cases of Konieczka v. Intel
23
    Corp., No. C:05-2700 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005) ("Konieczka"); Prohias v. Intel
24
     Corp., No. C:05-2699 (JL) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005) ("Prohias"); Niehaus v. Intel
25
     Corp., No. C:05-2720 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005) ("Niehaus"); Hamilton v. Intel
    Corp., No. C:05-2721 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005) ("Hamilton"); Baxley v. Intel
26
27
     Corp., No. C:05-2758 (EMC) (N.D. Cal., filed July 6, 2005) ("Baxley"); and Lipton v. Intel
28
    56536.1
    DECLARATION OF MICHAEL P. LEHMANN IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
    CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
```

1	Corp., No. C:05-2669 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 29, 2005) ("Lipton") treated as related
2	cases within the meaning of Civ. Local Rule 3-12(a).
3	3. I contacted attorneys at the firm of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, who
4	represent the plaintiffs in the cases other than <i>Brauch</i> listed above and they have agreed that
5	these cases should be treated as related cases.
6	4. I have not been able to contact anyone representing Intel Corporation. To
7	the best of my knowledge, no counsel has made an appearance on its behalf in any of these
8	cases.
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the
10	laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
11	Executed this 7th day of July, 2005 in San Francisco, California.
12	By: /s/ Michael P. Lehmann
13	Michael P. Lehmann
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	56536.1 -2-